

Agenda Item 3

MINUTES OF THE DERBYSHIRE PARTNERSHIP FORUM (DPF) THEMATIC PARTNERSHIPS

- Children and Young People's Trust Board – 15 July 2010. Minutes of 16 September 2010 to be published on the DCC website when available
- Culture Board – 21 July 2010. Minutes of 29 September 2010 to be published on the DCC website when available
- Health and Wellbeing Partnership – 19 July 2010. Minutes of 20 September 2010 to be published on the DCC website when available
- Safer Communities Board – 1 September 2010
- Sustainable Communities Board. Next meeting 16 September 2010 minutes to be published on the DCC website when available.
- Transformational Management Board – 9 August 2010

MINUTES of a meeting of the **DERBYSHIRE CHILDREN'S TRUST BOARD** held on 15 July 2010 at County Hall, Matlock

PRESENT

Councillor T Critchlow (in the Chair)

Councillors P Reddy and Ms A Western

J Amos	Derbyshire Fire and Rescue
B Buckley	Derbyshire County Council
C Cassell	Department for Education
J E Cook	Derbyshire Youth Cabinet
R Corker	Derbyshire County Council
J Coxhead	Derbyshire Police
I Forrest	NHS Derbyshire County
J Grigg	NHS Tameside and Glossop
H Hastie	Connexions Derbyshire
I Johnson	Derbyshire County Council
E Kinsey	Derbyshire County Council
G Knighton	Derbyshire Youth Cabinet
B Laurence	Derbyshire County Council/Derbyshire County PCT
P McKelvey	NHS Tameside and Glossop
L Newby	Derbyshire Police Authority
Councillor L Robinson	North East Derbyshire District Council
H Sahman	Strategic Health Authority
B Smith	Derbyshire Youth Offending Service
J Smith	Voluntary and Community Sector
R Smith	Derbyshire Youth Cabinet
M Stafford-Wood	Derbyshire County Council
A Towlerton	North East Derbyshire District Council

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of M Boyd, C Gilby, P Field, P Hackett, Councillor M Longden, L Rotherham, and S Savage

Councillor P Reddy declared a personal interest in Agenda Item 9 – Youth Justice Performance 2009/10

59/10 **MINUTES RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 20 May 2010 be confirmed as a correct record.

60/10 **YOUTH CABINET ISSUES** The Board had previously discussed the issue of reducing alcohol harm in children and young people. The Youth Cabinet had subsequently discussed the issue of how to reduce alcohol harm. A

number of ideas were produced, and these were circulated to all members of the Youth Council in order for them to raise with the District Groups. Feedback had been received from individuals as to what they thought was a good/bad idea, and this would be raised again at the next Youth Cabinet meeting. Leaflets giving information about alcohol harm had also been produced, and had been circulated.

At the last meeting of the Youth Council, members had split into portfolio groups to formulate ideas within the specific areas. This had proved a useful exercise.

61/10 **VOLUNTARY SECTOR ISSUES**

62/10 **DERBYSHIRE PERFORMANCE PROFILE 2009/10** The Board was presented with Derbyshire's performance profile, which had been released by Ofsted. It was reported that the overall number of establishments/providers had fallen by 32. However, of those judged, there had been an increase in the percentage receiving a judgement of outstanding, a decrease in the number judged as good, and a decrease in the number judged as inadequate. The overall number of establishments/providers judged as good or better had increased by 1%.

There had been a total of 456 inspections carried out throughout the year, of which 78 had received an improved judgement, 57 had received a reduced judgement, 214 had received the same judgement and 107 had been inspected for the first time. The total number judged as good or outstanding was 301, although there had been a slight decrease in the performance for Secondary and Sixth Form Schools.

Block B related to safeguarding and looked after children inspections, including unannounced inspections and serious case reviews. The annual unannounced inspection had taken place towards the end of 2009, and no priority actions had been identified. An action plan had been produced to address the areas identified as requiring improvement, and work was underway to complete this. Four out of the six serious case reviews had been judged as adequate or better during the period 1 April 2007 – 16 December 2009.

With regard to Block C (Every Child Matters National Indicator Set), the year on year comparison across indicators within the set had resulted in the upper banding increasing, the upper middle banding increasing, the lower middle banding reducing, and the lower banding remaining the same. The authority had 43 indicators within the upper and upper middle bandings, and the nine indicators falling within the lower banding were detailed.

The long term performance trend was upward in 36 indicators, downward in 17 indicators and static in 6. An overall downward trend could be seen in a number

of indicators, and these were highlighted. In terms of performance against the target, the percentage of indicators achieving or exceeding the target had increased, as had the percentage of indicators within 5% off target. The number of indicators more than 5% off target had decreased.

In terms of comparison with the statistical neighbour group, the percentage of indicators falling within the top quartile of the group had increased. The percentage of indicators falling within the upper middle quartile of the statistical neighbour group had fallen, and overall, the percentage of indicators within the upper and upper middle quartiles had fallen. There were 27 indicators for which comparator data was not yet available, and the majority were within social care. An update would be provided once comparator data had been received. The current lower quartile indicators were stated.

It was reported that steady progress was being made with a number of indicators, and where the performance had not been so good, a range of activities were being undertaken to improve the outcomes. Details were given of the range of work being carried out for this purpose. It was agreed that the action plan identifying improvements would be circulated to members of the Board.

63/10 **EMERGING BUDGET ISSUES** It was reported that there would be a reduction in the area based grants, and £3.4m related to children and younger adults. It would therefore be necessary to look at where to make savings. It would also be necessary to state where capital funding had been committed

The Schools for the Future programme had been stopped nationally, and the Council was making representations regarding this. An announcement would be published by October stating whether there would be a different approach to the programme. It was suggested that there should be a meeting of the Board after any announcements in October, as there would be implications for all agencies, and it would be important to work together as much as possible.

64/10 **INTEGRATED WORKING UPDATE** The Board received a presentation on Integrated Working – the Development of Multi-Agency Teams Draft Model. There were a number of benefits of having multi-agency teams, including better provision of services, a more streamlined organisation of the Children’s Trust provision that was sustainable, and improved outcomes across the Every Child Matters spectrum.

Consultation had taken place with partner services, and some positive solutions had arisen from this. The new structures were now being looked at, and consideration was being given to how weightings would be applied to the school cluster populations according to number, deprivation and rurality. Staff numbers per cluster were also being defined.

The new teams would be in six localities, and would be co-terminous with safeguarding and specialist services. The weighted populations for each locality would be calculated on the basis of weighted populations for school clusters. The structure of the Multi-Agency Teams would be a locality manager as lead, followed by MAT Managers who would oversee MAT members. The Multi-Agency Teams would support, advise and enable appropriate access to universal services; identify and support children, young people and families with emerging additional needs; and ensure that appropriate specialist services were brought into the 0-19 teams when specialist intervention and support was required.

With regard to new structures, the agencies that would be involved were detailed, and it was stated that some would need to work across more than one team. Work was currently taking place to develop this. There would be flexible, local provision, and the number of teams per area would be dependent on the population, need and rurality. Staff would be managed either directly by the MAT Manager or by matrix management.

The model was currently evolving, and there were a number of priorities. Evaluation of the impact of a range of recent Government announcements was to be undertaken, along with working on planning for implementation. There would be further consultation with staff, followed by approval by the Council's Cabinet, and there would be local planning with local partners. It was emphasised that the model would only work with the co-operation between partners.

65/10 **YOUTH JUSTICE PERFORMANCE 2009/10** The Board received a presentation on the performance of the Youth Offending Service against the six national indicators for youth justice and the local indicator for first time entrants to court. The service was subject to a performance regime managed by the Youth Justice Board, and a score/grade was allocated to the combined performance across all six indicators. Derbyshire's score was the highest in the East Midlands and had been graded as excellent.

With regard to NI43 – Young People Sentenced to Custody – the custody rate of 3.9% remained significantly lower than the national, regional and family group rates. The low use of custody was due to community programmes being offered by the YOT to the courts, and the confidence in using alternatives. The Intensive Supervision and Surveillance Programme had been particularly effective in working with the most serious offenders facing custody. Support was now also given to young people on release from custody, thus reducing the likelihood of them breaching their licence conditions or reoffending.

For NI44 – Ethnic Composition of Offenders on Youth Justice Disposals – it was noted that the numbers of Black and Minority Ethnic young people in the

justice system in Derbyshire was low. During 2009/10, there had been 22 BME young offenders (2.2%), which compared favourably with a Derbyshire BME youth population of around 3.9%. A number of BME groups were under represented in the offending population, but young black people had previously been over-represented. For 2009/10, the total number of young black offenders was 5, which represented a slight over representation of offenders against the general population of black young people.

NI45 – Young Offenders in Education, Training and Employment – measured the percentage of young offenders in ETE at the end of their period of supervision with the Youth Offending Team. This was above the national, regional and family group average. The ETE rate for school age young people was 82.4% and 74.8% for young people above school age. The breakdown of the data by YOT team and children's service districts was stated.

Performance over the last year had shown consistent improvement, and reflected key developments in the restructuring of the Service – these were highlighted. Close working with schools and other education providers, as well as Connexions, had been key in maintaining the level of performance. The Youth Offending Service was currently undergoing registration as an ASDAN centre to provide a basic qualification to young people in the Service. The lower level of performance in High Peak had been partly due to staff absence, but as with Bolsover, also reflected the limited range of opportunities for school leavers. It was intended to establish a Skills for Life project in the Ilkeston YOT office.

In relation to NI46 – Young People in Suitable Accommodation – this measured the proportion of young offenders who had access to suitable accommodation at the end of their supervision. The performance rate for 2009/10 had been 97.1%, with a total of 19 young people who were in unsuitable accommodation at the end of their period of supervision.

For NI19 – Rate of Proven Re-offending – the cohort identified at the beginning of 2009 had been made up of 392 individuals. This had been scaled down to 100 offenders, who had been convicted of a further 68 offences pro rata. The re-offending rate for Derbyshire had been below the regional and national average, but above the family group average, and had shown an improvement on the previous cohort. Following the introduction of the YRO and the establishment of the Programme Team, a more detailed review of re-offending following specific interventions would be carried out to look at which programmes were the most successful in reducing re-offending.

NI111 related to Young Offenders First Time Entrants. There had been a significant decrease in the number of first time entrants into the youth justice system, and a factor had been the greater discretion used by Derbyshire Police in

dealing with minor offending matters and using a restorative justice approach in working with offenders and victims. A new police reporting process was currently being established, and this would bring a link between Police and YOS data systems.

With regard to looked after children offending, the Project Officer had successfully intervened in a number of cases, and had also developed inter-agency guidance to reduce offending by looked after children. Performance against the PAF C18 indicator had previously shown an improvement, but in 2009, the rate of offending had increased. The current priorities were to address issues in corporate parenting, and ensure the likelihood of offending was addressed in care plans and supporting residential placements.

The local target of Young Offenders First Time in Court highlighted that there had been a consistent decrease in numbers over the last two years, and the targets set had been exceeded.

The Youth Justice Service had a number of future developments and priorities, and these included:-

- to maintain the current low use of custody through the continued use of community alternatives;
- The YOS would continue to work with the Local Criminal Justice Board Disproportionality Sub-Group to identify evidence of over representation of black and minority ethnic groups;
- The YOS would continue to ensure that the majority of offenders received appropriate learning opportunities and work effectively with partners;
- To continue to monitor the number of young people in unsuitable accommodation;
- To continue to monitor the rate of proven re-offending;
- A new police reporting process was currently being established, and this would bring greater links. The Prevention Team was also targeting siblings of known offenders already in the YOS;
- Responding to incidents of offending by looked after children and monitoring the responses of partner agencies.

66/10 CHILDREN'S TRUST AND SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN BOARD SEMINAR A joint meeting of the two Boards had taken place on 2 July 2010. The report detailing the outcomes from the meeting was still being finalised, and would be circulated to all members in the near future. A number of recommendations had arisen as a result of the meeting, and these would be contained within the report.

67/10 **PROGRESS MADE IN SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN IN DERBYSHIRE**

The Board was informed of current developments associated with safeguarding children and young people in Derbyshire. In terms of performance, there had been a significant increase in the number of initial contacts, and the number that had been accepted as referrals had also increased. During February and March, 1299 initial assessments and 224 core assessments had been completed, which was an increase on the previous two months.

There was now over 660 children subject to Child Protection Plans. The breakdown by age and category remained unchanged, with children aged between 1-4 being the most at risk, and neglect being the largest category. It was stated that this needed to be discussed with other agencies, and there needed to be better family intervention work. 142 Child Protection Plans had commenced during February and March, and around 20% of the children had previously been subject to a Plan. There had also been more children in care subject to a plan, and analysis needed to be undertaken as to why this was the case.

It was noted that 16 children had been subject to child protection plans for two years or more, which had been an increase on the previous period. An analysis of these cases was being undertaken by the Quality Assurance Sub-Group, and as a large majority of the concern was about neglect, it had been agreed to take an audit of plans.

Details were also provided on safeguarding training and development, and it was noted that this year, around 3000 staff would be trained on thirty different courses. However, there was a continuing problem with unexplained 'no shows' on training. Some authorities had introduced a published 'no show' charge, and this had had an impact. Further thought was being given to adopting this approach in Derbyshire. It was agreed that this issue would be taken back to agencies to emphasise the problem.

The Board was also informed of discussions that had taken place relating to the Derbyshire Safeguarding Children Board Annual Conference, which had been held in March 2010, Changes to Working Together to Safeguard Children, Parental Substance Misuse and its Impact on Children, and the ACPO National Child Protection and Abuse Conference.

RESOLVED that the report be noted.

68/10 **MINUTES – DERBYSHIRE YOUTH CABINET RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 22 June 2010 be received.

69/10 **MINUTES – NORTH EAST DERBYSHIRE DISTRICT PARTNERSHIP GROUP RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 24 June 2010 be received.

70/10 **MINUTES – EREWASH DISTRICT CHILDREN'S PARTNERSHIP GROUP RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 6 May 2010 be received.

71/10 **MINUTES – HIGH PEAK AND NORTH DALES CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S PARTNERSHIP RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 30 April 2010 be received.

72/10 **MINUTES – SOUTH DERBYSHIRE & SOUTHERN DALES DISTRICT SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN MANAGEMENT TEAM RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 22 April 2010 be received.

73/10 **OPERATION RELENTLESS** It was reported that Operation Relentless would be running between September and November, and it was the intention this year to have a theme each week to focus on. It was suggested that this be an agenda item for the next meeting of the Board, so that members could be made fully aware of the project.

74/10 **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC RESOLVED** that the public be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the remaining items on the agenda to avoid the disclosure of the kind of information detailed in the following summary of proceedings:-

SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED AFTER THE PUBLIC HAD BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THE MEETING

1. To confirm the exempt minutes of the meeting of the Derbyshire Children's Trust Board held on 20 May 2010

75/10 **EXEMPT MINUTES RESOLVED** that the exempt minutes of the meeting held on 20 May 2010 be confirmed as a correct record.

MINUTES of the **CULTURE THEME BOARD** held at the University of Derby, Devonshire Campus, Buxton, on 21 July 2010.

PRESENT

Councillor Andrew Lewer (In the Chair)

Brian Ashley, Museums, Libraries and Archives Council, Stuart Batchelor, South Derbyshire District Council, Pauline Beswick, Peak District National Park Authority, Dave Brooks, Derbyshire Dales District Council, Mike Hayden, Chesterfield Borough Council, Councillor Jim Hewlett, South Derbyshire District Council, David Joy, Derbyshire Sport, Councillor Barry Lewis, DCC, Steve Pintus, Derbyshire County PCT, Councillor Chris Short, Amber Valley Borough Council, Councillor Geoff Stevens, Derbyshire Dales District Council, Councillor Emily Thrane, East Midlands Arts Council and Lorna Wallace, 3D.

In attendance: Jaci Brumwell, DCC, Cultural and Community Services, Sally Curley, High Peak Borough Council, Wesley Downes, DCC, Chief Executives Office, Robert Gent, DCC, Cultural and Community Services, Allison Thomas DCC, Environmental Services, Ros Westwood, DCC Museums and Ann Wright, DCC, Arts.

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Mark Alflat, South Derbyshire District Council, Richard Campen, Peak District National Park Authority, Carla Capstick, DCC, Children and Younger Adults, David James, Peak District and Derbyshire, Martin Molloy, DCC, Cultural and Community Services, Jan Overfield-Shaw, DCC, Arts, Councillor Ann Syrett, Bolsover District Council, Julian Townsend, Amber Valley Borough Council, and Councillor Jean Wharmby, High Peak Borough Council.

25/10 **MINUTES** The minutes of the meeting of the Culture Theme Board held on 25 May 2010 were received.

26/10 **MATTERS ARISING** (a) 2012 Torch relay (minute 21/10 refers) Members were informed that news of the bid was now expected at the end of 2010 with full details early in the new year.

(b) Derby and Derbyshire City of Culture 2017 (minute 22/10 refers) Members were informed that the Minister of Arts had recently announced that Derry would be the City of Culture for 2013.

Derby City Council had now allocated funding in order to employ the services of a design company to develop an identity/brand for the bid, and Helen Osler had written to partners requesting nominations for the Stakeholder Group.

It was also noted that the University of Derby was to carry out research on audience and demographics.

27/10 **PARTNERS IN LITERACY** Sarah Burkinshaw, Director, Read on Right Away (ROWA) attended the meeting and gave a presentation to Members on Partners in Literacy.

Members were informed that the Partners in Literacy Project was a national pilot led by the National Literacy Trust and trialled in 9 Local Authorities between 2009 and 2011. In Derbyshire the pilot was led by Read on Right Away (ROWA) and a multi agency Advisory Group chaired by Martin Molloy, Strategic Director Cultural and Community Services who was the pilot's 'Champion'. The aim of the pilot was to test the premise that the Authority could tackle under achievement in disadvantaged children by raising awareness of the importance of reading culture, or literacy need in families and elevating this as a strategic approach within local area agreement partnerships. Whilst it was known that skills and enthusiasm for communication and reading began in the home and that home and culture influenced attainment, it was now critical to engage parental interest in reading with their children.

Details were given of how Derbyshire had developed a strategic partnership approach supporting literacy, which had meant working with family support agencies across the partnership to find ways to share the literacy offer and create a shared sustainable strategic vision for supporting families' reading. Following intensive research into parents' attitudes and ideas about language in the home, a detailed report of the outcomes was prepared and could be accessed through the ROWA website.

In conclusion, these could be summarised into three categories being access, shape and purpose.

Access – to work with parents and professionals to find what was on offer locally and what parents wanted to access.

Shape – to work with parents and professionals to redefine literacy support so that it was not just about going on a course or reading a book, it was about fitting into people's lives and inspiring them to be the agents of change.

Purpose – to support professionals to help parents understand the role they play in children's learning and through understanding what is required of them.

Sarah gave details of a number of pilots that would be taking place in year 2, including volunteer parent buddies in Bolsover; a literacy café in South Derbyshire and using nurseries and childminders to inform parents.

By the end of 2010, it was hoped that the pilot would be in a position to outline approaches to support a family reading culture, especially with those families whose children were at risk of being caught in the achievement gap. These new partnership approaches would be linked to existing, or remodelled, support systems. Recommendations would be made to the Derbyshire Partnership Forum for a more effective cross sector approach to supporting literacy. It was hoped to bring a further report to the Culture Theme Board in early 2011 to outline a proposal for the cross sector approaches to supporting literacy in Derbyshire.

Members of the board raised a number of issues and ideas for further partnership working that would enhance and improve the pilot, in order to help it achieve its aims, which were noted and taken on board.

The Chair thanked Sarah for a most interesting and informative presentation.

28/10 OUTCOMES FROM CULTURAL SERVICES – FEEDBACK FROM PARTNERS Following the request made at the previous meeting for members of the board to provide examples of projects from organisations that had delivered outcomes, Robert Gent summarised some of the outcomes that had been received.

There had been a good response from partners with good examples received under the headings of reducing crime and the fear of crime; tackling antisocial behaviour; helping children and young people to enjoy and achieve; increasing independent living and improved quality of life; promoting health and well being and reducing health inequalities; increasing participation in arts, sports, cultural and leisure opportunities; and support of a thriving local economy and sustainable communities.

A number of excellent projects with very clear outcomes were identified and outlined to members. Details were also given of some very good projects that were being undertaken but the outcomes were not being captured in the manner required in order to show what difference it had made to peoples' lives.

Members were informed of the culture and sports outcomes framework which was detailed in the report submitted which showed three layers of outcomes with seven themes common to local area agreements. It was noted that there was no specific theme for culture.

In terms of what happened next, it was explained that the Derbyshire Partnership Forum Annual Report would be available in Autumn 2010 and some of the examples given would be used in that report. Some of the case studies would also be detailed on the DCC website. It was also agreed that a future Culture Theme Board session would take place on evaluating outcomes, which it was felt would benefit all Members. Work was also being undertaken with Sheffield University and MLA on identifying the intrinsic benefits of culture.

One of the lessons had been that outcomes and the methodology for evaluating them needed to be identified at the start of any project or initiative.

The Chairman thanked partners for their contribution in supplying examples of projects which had captured outcomes, and Robert for his update on the current situation.

29/10 NATIONAL INDICATORS AND SECONDARY INDICATORS: QUARTER 4 REPORTS

NI 7 – Lorna Wallace,3D gave a presentation to Members on progress in relation to National Indicator 7.

In relation to the baseline research being carried out by BMG Research in order to gain an accurate picture of third sector activity within Derbyshire, it was noted that the raw data had now been received although the full report was still awaited. Discussions had taken place, and it had been agreed by the action group that in the interim period until the final report was produced, additional research would be undertaken locally in order to build a local picture. Once all research had been received this could be analysed to find out where the gaps existed and look to make progress towards filling these gaps.

The Voice Project had worked with local front line groups to develop case studies illustrating contribution to LAA priorities. To help build mutual understanding between public and voluntary sectors, the Voice project was putting together a briefing pack which would be distributed to partners in the voluntary and public sector.

Progress was now being made on recruiting an IT specialist in order to centralise all data on local community and voluntary organisations, which would be accessible by all partners and which it was hoped would avoid duplication. It was envisaged that this would be available by the end of March 2011.

It was noted that the inaugural meeting of the Funders Forum had taken place on 6 July in Ripley with an excellent attendance. A number of issues were under discussion including the consistency of funding; the quality of

application not meeting the criteria set by funders; and the perceived trend towards funding only being made available for registered charities.

In relation to capturing outcomes from evaluations, it was proving to be very difficult in the voluntary sector to establish this type of information, although efforts were being made to educate people.

Discussions was currently being undertaken by the forum about the need to establish whether funding was available for new projects or whether funding should be provided to sustain good quality services that already existed.

It was noted that the NI7 recall event was due to take place on 2 November 2010.

NI 8 – David Joy, Derbyshire Sport, gave Members a progress report in relation to National Indicator 8, which referred to the number of over 16's in the population who were carrying out moderate intensity sporting activity up to three times a week. The Board had already approved a basket of 25 sub-indicators and agreement had been reached across local authorities in Derbyshire to create a system that collected data at local authority level; this is then fed through into the Corvu system to provide an overall picture across the county.

There were now three broad headings of quality, participation, and volunteering data. It was noted that partners hadn't reported during this quarter and that the next report to the board would be of far greater significance and give a much clearer picture. Members of the board had been provided with a list of secondary indicators with base line and target data included which would support the Derbyshire LAA selected key indicators. There was still concern over inequalities of participation particularly amongst females and disabled people and work would be undertaken to improve these areas. The framework now in place allowed performance to be challenged at a local level in order to achieve the required outcomes.

NI 9 – Jaci Brumwell gave Members a progress report in relation to National Indicator 9, which referred to the use of public libraries.

The fourth quarter figures showed that good progress was being made. The register of library membership was holding steady and although new registrations had declined in the last year, active borrowers were showing an increase in line with the establishment of Gold Card as the principal means of

registering the 60+ age group as library Members. Visits and issues had increased despite a number of temporary library closures. On-line take up had dramatically increased in the past 12 months and on-line resources were seen as a very important measure of remote access, as well as enabling efficiency savings. Usage of public access computers had declined in libraries, although this may be down to more people having access to computers at home and the availability of wi-fi in libraries, which meant that more people were using their own laptops. The Book Start Scheme, which was delivered in three stages, had achieved a 100% success in the delivery of Stage 2 and Stage 3 packs, although the delivery of Stage 1 packs was slightly down, but this was in part due to a restructuring of the Health Visitor Service in one district.

A new indicator had now been introduced in relation to the number of attendances at events for adults, organised or supported by libraries during the last year, although at the moment no data was available. In relation to the satisfaction with the library service, users had given an 80% satisfaction rate with non-users giving a 40% satisfaction rate.

30/10 **SINGLE IMPROVEMENT TOOL – UPDATE** Members were informed that a service accreditation consultancy was being undertaken by Brian King. A draft improvement tool workshop had taken place at the end of May which had started off the process with each district now having a set timescale.

The Cultural Improvement Partnership for the East Midlands had recently run two training days although attendance had been somewhat disappointing. The training had been excellent and had focused on giving participants the skills to conduct peer challenge. There was encouragement for all Authorities to go forward with the self assessment route.

31/10 **DATE AND VENUE OF NEXT MEETING** The next meeting of the Board would take place on Wednesday 29 September 2010, commencing at 10am at Shipley Country Park.

The Chairman informed Members that he intended to continue with meetings of the board on their current pattern, until spring 2011, at which point many of the national indicators came to an end. In the meantime it would be helpful to reflect upon the most appropriate form of partnership to ensure continued joint commitment to broad cultural priorities prior to an agenda item to discuss it in a more formal manner in late 2010 or early 2011.

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL
ADULT CARE

HEALTH AND WELLBEING PARTNERSHIP

**MINUTES OF A MEETING HELD ON
MONDAY 19TH JULY 2010 AT 2:00PM
DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL, COMMITTEE ROOM 1, MATLOCK HQ**

PRESENT:

Jones Cllr Charles	CJ	Derbyshire County Council - Cabinet Member (Adult Care) Chairman
David Black	DB	Derbyshire County PCT - Joint Director of Public Health
Hannes Botha	HB	GOEM - Public Health Consultant
Richard Brunt	RB	Derbyshire Fire & Rescue Service
Eric Galvin	EG	Derbyshire County PCT - Non Executive Director
Louise Glasscoe	LG	Derbyshire LINK Representative
Andy Layzell	AL	Derbyshire County PCT - Asst Director of Contracting & Commissioning
James Matthews	JM	Derbyshire County Council - Assistant Director (Adult Care)
Elaine Michel	EM	Tameside & Glossop PCT
John Morris	JM	Derbyshire LINK
Rosemary Plang	RP	National Probation Service - Assistant Chief Officer
Alison Pritchard	AP	Derbyshire County PCT - Consultant in Public Health
Bill Robertson	BR	Derbyshire County Council - Strategic Director (Adult Care)
Helen Robinson	HR	Derbyshire Carers Association - Chief Executive
Cllr Chris Short	CS	Amber Valley BC
David Timcke	DT	VSISC
Cath Walker	CW	Derbyshire County Council – Chief Executives (Policy Officer)

IN ATTENDANCE:

Cathy Ayrton	CA	Derbyshire County Council (Adult Care)
Chris Bond	CB	Derbyshire Constabulary
Jem Brown	JB	Derbyshire County Council – Head of Wellbeing (Adult Care)
John Coxhead	JC	Derbyshire Constabulary

APOLOGIES:

Cllr Dave Allen		Derbyshire County Council - Elected Member
Steve Battlemuch		GOEM
Huw Bowen		Chesterfield Borough Council
Gill Farrington		Derbyshire County Council - Elected Member
Julie Hardy		Derbyshire County Council – Adult Care
Supt Howard Veigas		Derbyshire Constabulary - Head of Community Safety

Minute no	Item	Action
H&WB 028/10	<p><u>MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON 17TH MAY 2010 & MATTERS ARISING</u></p> <p>The minutes were noted and agreed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 020/10: D Timcke sought clarification on progress. BR confirmed that JM would be able to update DT. • 025/10: Tobacco Control: Paper delivered by DB at the Derbyshire Partnership Forum well received but no further action agreed. DB sought clarification on how this group and the Council could take this forward. BR recommended it should be taken to Cabinet. Cllr Jones stated DCC are looking at adopting the approach and he will clarify next steps. • BR confirmed the position will be reviewed and an update presented to a future partnership meeting. • DB asked for his thanks to the team that supported the presentation in May to be recorded. 	<p style="text-align: right;">JM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CJ</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BR/DB</p>
H&WB 029/10	<p><u>PRESENTATION ON DISTRACTION BURGLARY</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chris Bond Derbyshire Constabulary gave a presentation on the devastating effects of this type of crime for older people. The Police have been offering support and reassurance to victims, or potential repeat victims, of distraction burglaries by installing cameras. As a result they have had some success in preventing or detecting crime. They only have three cameras left for installation and are seeking support from the partners on the Board to purchase more. • The cameras cost approximately £350 each. • Cllr Jones asked the partners to consider if they could assist with funding additional cameras and asked for the item to be reviewed in six months. <p><u>PRESENTATION ON FIRST CONTACT – ATTACHED</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Board agreed there was scope for a more joined up approach between the development work being undertaken by Adult Care and the PCT around signposting and supported access to services. A meeting should be held to review how First Contact is supporting health and social care needs and ensure pathways pull people into appropriate primary prevention services. • A progress report should be given to the Board at a future meeting. • C Ayrton confirmed there are differences between First Contact and traditional signposting schemes, which provide information but rely on the person making contact. Experience has shown people who are already vulnerable, or worried, are not always motivated to seek support themselves. The unique selling point of First Contact is once the need is identified and the client signposted to the correct agency, the responsibility lies with the agency receiving the referral to make contact. There is a greater degree of success in assisting people to access primary prevention services when they have supported 	<p style="text-align: right;">All</p> <p style="text-align: right;">JB/DB</p>

access. An example was cited of an older person in the Chesterfield, Bolsover and NE Derbyshire area, who is a carer for a family member with alcohol problems. The First Contact referral has resulted in identification of eligibility for three separate benefits resulting in income maximisation of £8.4k per year.

**H&WB
030/10**

UPDATE ON TOTAL PLACE PILOT

- BR confirmed the thinking around the location of the pilot had been altered. The original choice, Bakewell, had been deemed too small for an accurate reflection of local demographics and the Core Group decided to use a consortia size of 100,000, which would be at District or Borough Council level. In terms of the Older Peoples Pilot this would focus on the whole of Amber Valley.
- BR stated that the 3 proposed new outcomes framework from the Department of Health will have an impact on the pilot. Deloitte would be coordinating the review. It will involve current spend and responsibilities across District/Borough and County Councils, the Police, Fire Service and Primary Care Trust.
- The Health and Wellbeing Co-ordination Group is due to meet on the 9th August to discuss the White Paper: *Equity and Excellence: Liberating the NHS* and will plan a workshop to consider its implications.

**H&WB
031/10**

UPDATE OF HEALTH INEQUALITIES STRATEGY

- BR confirmed he and DB had been visiting and reviewing other thematic groups. He asked DB to report back on the three themes, which specifically related to health inequalities.

BR/DB/DS

ACTION 3

IDENTIFY PEOPLE WHO NEED SERVICES AND SUPPORT

Lead Agency: PCT locality

- The PCT are looking at each GP locality and are focusing on what individual practices are doing. Part of the work will involve reviewing 'exception reporting' and ensuring this is minimized and vulnerable people are proactively engaged.
- DS confirmed there is a visiting schedule, which under the current performance management system prioritises the order in which surgeries should be visited.

ACTION 4

PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FOR DISADVANTAGED GROUPS & INDIVIDUALS

Lead Agency: Adult Care, DCC

The discussion focussed on :

- How do we as agencies help people to understand what is on offer?

- How do we give people choice?
- How do we empower local people?
- How do we promote the citizen offer for health and wellbeing?
- DB confirmed DCHS intended to train all front line staff in signposting patients and service users to other agencies, which can help meet their ongoing needs. The system, still under design, covers a range of social and health care issues including linking in through the Health Trainers and Champions, Welfare Rights and others. If successful, the training will be offered to other NHS staff.
- JM stated that First Contact could play an integral part in the training of NHS front line staff. The First Contact checklist allows staff not only to talk to clients, identify their needs and offer appropriate signposting information but also to facilitate contact with the appropriate agency. JM felt it would be constructive to have a meeting between Adult Care and the NHS Derbyshire team, to review the needs of the PCT and determine if any slight adjustments are needed to meet the needs of their front line staff through First Contact.
- JC felt that the NHS white paper encompassed the spirit of the multi agency approach engendered by scheme like First Contact and that it was important to maintain connectivity and not lose opportunities to ensure strategies for adults and children and families are cross referenced whenever possible.

JB

JB/JM/DB

ACTION 5

TO PROVIDE TARGETED AND APPROPRIATE SERVICES

Lead agency – A District or Borough Council

- DB outlined the aim, which is to develop the skills of staff and volunteers outside of the NHS to reduce health inequalities, particularly in libraries and leisure facilities. There is a strong partnership focus on working together to ensure that health inequalities are reduced through initiatives, which include the Health Zones in Libraries and Extra Care facilities.

ACTION 6

TO PROVIDE TARGETED AND APPROPRIATE SERVICES

Lead Agency – DCC

- The aim is to ensure commissioners ensure equity of access to services in rural and disadvantaged areas and to help identify people who are disadvantaged and therefore potentially vulnerable. BR considered that the work being done via First Contact and the Health and Wellbeing Zones would assist in identifying need and supporting

people to access appropriate services.

ACTION 11

TO INCREASE THE INDEPENDENCE OF VULNERABLE AND DISADVANTAGED PEOPLE IN THEIR OWN HOMES

Lead Agency: Adult Care, DCC and Voluntary Sector Partner

- BR confirmed the aim is to ensure that people have timely access to primary prevention services. This can be achieved through mechanisms such as First Contact, which assist people to live independently in the home of their choice and help them maintain their good and reasonable health. This theme encompasses the agenda around prevention and early intervention and could be an outcome for the total place pilot.
- Although this action requires a multi agency response, there is a statutory obligation for the County Council to lead.
- Cllr Lemmon queried whether or not there was an over reliance on GPs, as many are part time there can be issues around continuity for services users, who may fall through the gaps. Do we need a reappraisal of the current system and less reliance on local services?
- DB responded that surveys evidenced that clients with chronic illnesses requiring continuity felt their needs were being met.

**H&WB
032/10**

VOLUNTARY AND COMMUNITY SECTOR ISSUES

- DT confirmed no specific issues to be discussed. Item carried over to the agenda for the next Board.

**H&WB
033/10**

TAMESIDE AND GLOSSOP PCT

- EM provided an update for Tameside and Glossop PCT.
- In terms of the White Paper – two consortia 1) Tameside 2) Glossopdale. They will be used to develop thinking about the future.
- Most of the PCT directly provided services will transfer to Stockport NHS Foundation Trust with Public Health staff transferring to Tameside MBC. The current focus is on ensuring a smooth transition for staff.
- JM asked for the results to be brought to the next Board, so we can have an overview of what is happening in different locales around efficiencies
- DS said it would be difficult to agree an action plan without knowing what will be left within the PCT
- New Glossop Primary Care Centre now open – all invited to visit the new facility
- Confirmed Rona Cruickshank had been appointed interim Director of Public Health FOR Tameside & Glossop.

- EM outlined some elements in the QIPP programme and it was agreed that at the next meeting it would be useful to look at the efficiencies programme of the statutory agencies that attend the Health and Wellbeing Partnership.

**H&WB
034/10**

WHITE PAPER ON NHS: DISCUSSION

- DS gave an overview there are four structural and two cultural changes embedded in the White Paper.

STRUCTURAL

- 1) Transfer of some roles from the NHS to Local Authorities.
- 2) No definite regional structure with only the national framework outlined at present.
- 3) NHS no longer the preferred provider. Providing an organisation can pass the monitor test and receive approval from the CQC, they can operate and provide services within the NHS.
- 4) GPs will have a central role in commissioning and procurement.

CULTURAL

- 1) Around 45% of the management structure will disappear and 'in house' management provided in GPs surgeries and other parts of the NHS.
- 2) IT will be revolutionised with the emphasis on information gathering and sharing being centered on the patient and their needs and not the organizations.

DB wanted the Board to consider the effect the proposals overall would have on the collation of and ability to deal with:

- Mortality rates
- Teenage pregnancy
- Health inequalities
- Who will monitor
- Who will assess the quality of local hospitals and bring them account
- Throughout the change process the PCT would be expected to have continued legal responsibility for budgets.
- Patient care and maintenance of quality and safety would also be the PCT's responsibility throughout any transition period, as would supporting PCT staff through the change process.
- BR confirmed from a DASS perspective the paper raises, as opposed to answers questions and that some of the issues would not be clear until the White Papers on Adult Care and Public Health were published.
- There will be a new national NHS accountable to Ministers. The budgets and how they can be committed are as yet unclear but work will have to be undertaken with the GP Commissioning Consortia to agree a commissioning strategy.
- EG confirmed the focus of the Board of the PCT would be driven by

the White Paper for the next two years.

- JC asked about integrated working and the scope for geographical work to be coterminous with CAYA. BR confirmed the Total Place Pilot would take this into account. However, given the statutory obligations were different for adults and children, they would always be a need for separate thematic groups and strategies.
- The Board agreed the GP Consortia will need guidance on the health and wellbeing needs across a whole community. The Board has an opportunity to share its experience of producing strategies to ensure health inequalities are minimised. The Board needs to be proactive and not wait for direction from the centre. BR felt the Total Place Pilot would aid this process.
- The consortia will need support around:
 - Service design
 - Scrutiny
 - Contracting issues
 - Brokerage
- DT wanted to ensure that the voluntary sector would have a part to play in service redesign and delivery. DS said the Board could help to provide the opportunities for the voluntary sector to inform the process.
- DS confirmed that the 3rd meeting with the current GP Practice Based Commissioning consortia would be held on 21st July, locality discussions are still needed but there may be greater clarity after the meeting.

DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Partnership will take place on Monday 20th September 2010, at 2:00pm, Committee Room 1, County Hall, Matlock.

MINUTES of a meeting of the **DERBYSHIRE SAFER COMMUNITIES BOARD** held on 1 September 2010 at the Derbyshire Police Headquarters, Ripley.
PRESENT

3D Consortium/Amber Valley CVS

L Allison

Derbyshire Police Authority

G Goucher

Bolsover District Council

Councillor B Murray-Garr

W Lumley

Erewash Borough Council

Councillor C Corbett

Derbyshire Constabulary

M Creedon

H Veigas

High Peak Borough Council

M Forrester

Probation

D White

Derbyshire County Council

Councillor C Hart

S Goodwin

D Lowe

South Derbyshire District Council

M Aflat

Derbyshire Dales District Council

Councillor L Rose

D Wheatcroft

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of J Jaroszek (Erewash Borough Council), S Pintus (Derbyshire County PCT), Councillor D Stone (Chesterfield Borough Council) and Councillor J Wharmby (High Peak Borough Council).

38/10 **APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR** The Board supported the appointment of the Vice Chair Councillor C Hart to the Chair pending the review of DPF/LAA arrangements.

Councillor Mrs C Hart – in the Chair

39/10 **MINUTES OF SAFER COMMUNITIES BOARD MEETING RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting of the Board held on 2 June 2010 be confirmed as a correct record.

40/10 **MATTERS ARISING – GYPSY AND TRAVELLERS UPDATE (min 21/10 refers)** New legislation was to be devised on the issue.

41/10 **MATTERS ARISING - PERFORMANCE REPORT (min no 24/10 refers)** An inspection report had been commented on by the Constabulary regarding NI 27 - local concerns about anti social behaviour. A Public Health

Consultant had been engaged to undertake work in connection with NI 39 - hospital admissions for alcohol related harm.

42/10 **MATTERS ARISING - CHIEF CONSTABLES LETTER REGARDING COMMITMENT TO JOINT TARGET TO INCREASE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE (min no 35/10 refers)** The Chief Constable reported that as the new Home Secretary had removed the confidence target, the Board's concern regarding the measurement had not been pursued.

43/10 **SAFER COMMUNITIES TASKING AND ADVISORY GROUP MINUTES RESOLVED** that the minutes of the Safer Communities Tasking and Advisory Group (SCTAG) held on 4 August 2010 be received.

44/10 **PERFORMANCE REPORT** Of the nine national and two local indicators four were currently green, four amber and three red as set out below:-

Green

NI 16 Serious acquisitive crime rate –Chesterfield's individual target was red and the dwelling/house burglary group was to report back on actions being taken.

NI 32 Repeat incidents of domestic violence

LI 1 Number of first-time entrants to the youth justice system at court entry level

LI 2 All drug users in effective treatment

Amber

NI 1 % of people who believe people from different backgrounds get on well together in their local area

NI 18 Adult re-offending rates for those under probation supervision

NI 20 Assault with injury crime rate – dealing with incidents between younger adults was to be investigated.

NI 47 People killed or seriously injured in road traffic accidents

Red

NI 27 Understanding of local concerns about anti-social behaviour and crime issues by the local council and police - it would not be possible to measure this target in future following the removal of the Place Survey.

NI 39 Rate of Hospital Admissions per 100,000 for Alcohol Related Harm

NI 49 Total number of primary fires per 100,000 population.

Although an announcement had not yet been made on the future of the LAA, it was asked that the SDRI group commence work on targets and measurements that may be more appropriate and meaningful in the future taking into account strategic assessment intelligence.

RESOLVED to note the report.

Action – S Goodwin/ SDRI group

45/10 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT FUND APPLICATIONS

Three applications for funding had been received by the SCTAG on 4 August 2010. A bid from Chesterfield CSP was deferred pending further information. The other two applications were considered and supported. Both applications focussed on supporting areas of under performance against LAA targets.

Authority	Project	Funding
High Peak CSP	Dreamscheme. A national initiative aiming to inspire and enable communities. Young people do work in the community to earn “points”, which can then be transferred into trips and activities.	£3,000
High Peak/ Derbyshire Dales CSPs	StreetGame provides anti-social behaviour and crime diversion activities at priority sites throughout the High Peak and Derbyshire Dales	£1,800
	TOTAL	£4,800

RESOLVED that the Board approves the High Peak CSP Dreamscheme and the High Peak/Derbyshire Dales CSPs StreetGame projects, for funding from the ABG Performance Management Fund.

Action - High Peak/ Derbyshire Dales CSPs

46/10 PLACE SURVEY AND DATA SHARING UPDATES Safer Derbyshire had undertaken work to establish a data sharing protocol with Derby Royal Hospital to share its accident and emergency (A&E) data to enable improved analysis. Negotiations were also underway with the Chesterfield Royal Hospital with a view to obtaining A&E data from early 2011. If successful, consideration would be given to approach other smaller A&E sites in the county.

Notification had been received on 10 August 2010 from Grant Shapps MP, Minister for Housing & Local Government of the scrapping of the Place Survey. This would mean that no data would be collected to assess changes in people’s perception of ASB and fear of crime across the county and no national comparison would be available from which bench marking could take place.

The SCTAG had discussed how local area confidence would be assessed in the future including various options that were currently being considered, such as Community Forums, Resident Surveys, and the police “Have Your Say” events. Activity and measurement of confidence across the County would be considered by

the SCTAG as a follow up to the Chief Constable's letter in relation to confidence discussed at the last Board meeting. The Board agreed that a meaningful confidence measurement was required.

RESOLVED that the Board notes the report.

Action - SCTAG

47/10 **ABG BUDGET - CAPITAL PROJECT BIDS** At the last Board meeting it had been agreed that the SCTAG sub-group be given delegated authority to discuss and approve capital project bids from districts and partners in support of Operation Relentless 2010. The SCTAG had considered and supported projects, totalling £117,107 as set out below, which were approved by Derbyshire County Council's Cabinet meeting on 3 August 2010. Bids against the balance of £12,378 would be considered at the SCTAG meeting in October 2010.

Area	Bid	Amount
SDDC	Burglar Alarm Scheme	£10,000
HPBC	CCTV Camera System for Hadfield Railway Station	£10,000
CBC	Broad Pavement Violent Crime Solution	£20,814
BDC	CAN Van Camera Replacement	£11,000
BDC	Mobile Laser Quest Unit	£8,500
DDDC /HPBC	Improving Public Confidence in Safety (Night-time economy)	£7,380
EBC	West Park Skate and BMX Park	£15,000
AVBC	Alfreton Welfare Park MUGA	£7,273
BDC	Whitwell Burglary Project	£27,140
NED	Anti-Burglary	
CBC	Prevention of Domestic Burglary	
		£117,107

RESOLVED that the Board notes the report

Action – All partners

48/10 **ABG REVENUE FUNDING 2010-2011** At the meeting on 24 February 2010 a budget summary was provided detailing the total Safer & Stronger Area Based Grant (SCB ABG) revenue funding of £892k. The Board agreed that the budget be fully allocated to cover the cost of specific posts servicing county wide priorities and a £25k allocation to each of the eight Community Safety Partnerships across the county. It was agreed that the balance of £102k should be retained as a performance management fund with recommendations from the SCTAG as to its

allocation based on areas of under performance in relation to Local Area Agreement targets.

Following the general election in May 2010 and the subsequent emergency budget, Derbyshire County Council received notification of an in year cut to the SCB ABG revenue budget of £80k. Having sought views from partners it was proposed that the £80k be taken from the performance management fund as the only area of ABG revenue funding that had yet to be fully allocated. This would reduce the fund to £22k.

RESOLVED that the Board notes the reduction in the Area Based Grant revenue and supports the SCTAG proposal to cut £80k from the performance management fund for 2010-11.

Action – Sally Goodwin

49/10 **INTERIM EVALUATION OF ABG FUNDED POSTS** At its meeting on 2 June 2010 the Board had supported the process of evaluating all posts funded through Area Based Grant in anticipation of budgets cuts, to be announced as part of the Comprehensive Spending Review in October 2010. The evaluation would be complete by November 2010, but the Board had requested an interim report on progress.

The evaluation covered the following posts:-

- 8 x Anti-Social Behaviour Officers
- 4 x Independent Domestic Violence Advocates
- 2 x Independent Sexual Violence Advocates
- 1 x Priority and Prolific Offender Prison Officer
- 2 x Priority and Prolific Offender Probation Service Officers
- 2 x Alcohol Arrest Referral Workers

Work had been ongoing and it had been reinforced to lead officers that there was a need to consider other ways of delivering the service provided by these posts or a reduction in costs and this would be included in the final evaluation.

Lead officers would meet again on 1 October 2010 for consideration of draft final reports and scoring of posts in relation to the following factors;-

- Statutory function (or linked to a statutory function)
- Risk
- Cost/Value for Money
- Mitigation/other options

The Board asked that effectiveness also be considered for scoring purposes. A full report with supporting documentation would be provided to the SCB meeting on 17 November 2010.

Members were concerned that there was a need to progress the evaluations and it would be realistic to work on a cut in budget of 40% until the actual figure was known.

RESOLVED that 1) the Board notes the report; and

2) lead officers include effectiveness as a factor for scoring purposes.

Action – Sally Goodwin/Lead Officers

50/10 **VAL UPDATE** The Board was provided with a six month update on the progress of the Violence Alcohol and Licensing Groups (VALs) across the county and the implementation of previous recommendations.

The reorganisation of Police divisions in April 2010 had had an impact on VALs. Amber Valley and Erewash VAL now straddled two Police divisions and two police licensing teams. This had led to some issues around consistency of approach and therefore meeting frequency had been increased to speed up the bedding in of the new structures. The changes had allowed best practice to be shared around the County. The VAL Chair's had changed in Chesterfield and NE Derbyshire with three out of the six VALs now being chaired by partners other than the police.

There were a number of issues for VALs to consider moving forward including Challenge 25. This was the preferred scheme in the implementation of the new mandatory licensing conditions which included the requirement for all licensed premises to have an age verification policy.

The recommended ID would be PASS hologram ID such as a B-Line card rather than a passport or driving licence which created problems if lost. The scheme would be the subject of a countywide media push during Operation Relentless, which would be running from 27 September to 7 November 2010.

RESOLVED that the SCB notes the report and a further update, detailing outputs and outcomes from the VALS be provided in six months time.

Action – Howard Veigas

51/10 **BARONESS STERN'S REPORT AND VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN & GIRLS UPDATE** The Stern review was commissioned by the Government Equalities Office and the Home Office following concerns that the conviction rate for rape remained low despite repeated attempts to improve it.

Baroness Stern's review focused solely on rape offences and took place over a 6 month period during 2009. It was based on direct interviews with over 200 individuals (including victims, representatives from victims' organisations, judges, police officers, prosecutors, doctors and others), responses to online and written surveys and recognised the findings from Sara Payne's report, "Rape: the victim experience review" and Sir George Alberti's report, "The Health Aspects of violence against women and children taskforce" The report acknowledged changes that had been made over recent years, including the changes to the definition of rape, the appointment of specialist trained police officers and prosecutors, as well as the introduction of Independent Sexual Violence Advisors (ISVA).

In Derbyshire the support services to victims of rape and serious sexual assault was overseen by the Serious Sexual Violence Sub-Group which brought together city and county representatives reporting into the Local Criminal Justice Board and the Safer Communities Board as required. This group would ensure that the recommendations in the Stern report were considered and implemented as far as possible.

There was currently a Sexual Assault Referral Centre (SARC) located in the Amber Valley area of the county and the Board currently funded two ISVAs, employed via Derbyshire Rape Crisis, to provide support services to victims accessing SARC services. These posts were currently being evaluated as part of the wider review of posts funded by Area Based Grant. Services provided by Derbyshire Rape Crisis via the SARC were also currently under review with a view to re-commissioning the whole service, including ISVAs, from April 2011. There was currently a high level of commitment to providing services for victims in the county but the implications of the Health White Paper were already leading to some uncertainty regarding taking forward services.

Dependent upon the outcome of the evaluation of the ISVA posts (and IDVA posts) and the spending review, further consideration may have to be given to the local authority contribution to the provision of support services to victims in line with the Stern recommendations in the future.

RESOLVED that the SCB notes the report and acknowledges the recommendations of the Stern Review and considers this report in the context of reviewing the ABG funding for Independent Sexual Violence and Domestic Violence Advisors in the autumn.

Action – Sally Goodwin

52/10 **INTEGRATED OFFENDER MANAGEMENT (IOM)** Integrated Offender Management was supported by the Home Office and the Ministry of

Justice and aimed to build on existing programmes by extending multi-agency identification and assessment, offender management and information sharing frameworks for those in the community who presented the highest risk of re-offending and harm.

The approach highlighted that community safety partners working with criminal justice partners could achieve better outcomes by working in partnership with other agencies, integrating core business to make the best use of shared skills and resources. IOM involved a different way of carrying out core work and simplifying and strengthening governance to provide clarity around respective roles and responsibilities.

Since 2004, effective multi-agency arrangements in the County had helped achieve success in reducing rates of re-offending by prolific and priority offenders (PPOs) often drug related. The Home Office had circulated a key set of principles for IOM which would keep a County scheme focussed on uniting partners to tackle offenders together; delivering a local response to local problems; ensuring offenders faced their responsibilities and consequences; making better use of existing resources and governance; and 'scoping' of all offenders at high risk of causing harm/re-offending.

It was proposed that the County start to 'scope' those offenders who were at risk of causing harm and/or re-offending by prioritising key offender groups to be managed under an IOM scheme. Some areas had included Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements (MAPPA) in their IOM approach, but this is deemed to be unnecessary duplication in Derbyshire, which already had a robust approach to MAPPA.

Governance of a County IOM Scheme could be brought under the umbrella of the County PPO Steering & Implementation Group (SIG) which would manage the development and roll out of an IOM scheme across the County. The SIG could be accountable to the Safer Communities Board and also report to the Local Criminal Justice Board as required.

The Probation Service would be involved in both the City and County schemes and therefore asked that they reflect each other as closely as possible.

The Board recognised that the future of the Local Criminal Justice Board was also unclear at this point and the governance arrangements may therefore need to be revisited in the future.

RESOLVED that 1) to adopt an Integrated Offender Management (IOM) Scheme for the County;

2) the Prolific & Other Priority Offender (PPO) Steering & Implementation Group be expanded to an Integrated Offender Management Steering & Implementation Group and undertakes the development of an Integrated Offender Management Scheme for the County; and

3) the Board undertakes the governance role for the Integrated Offender Management Scheme with reporting to the Local Criminal Justice Board as required.

Action – Sally Goodwin

53/10 REVIEW OF CSP STRUCTURE / FUNDING A number of strategic reviews were taking place within agencies to deliver budget reductions and efficiencies in anticipation of the Comprehensive Spending Review scheduled for 20 October 2010. The potential impact of these individual reviews on community safety activity across the County needed to be considered and the Board's support for a holistic approach to the review of community safety funding across the County was sought.

At the SCTAG meeting on 4 August 2010, all partners who funded community safety related posts across the eight district partnerships agreed to the collation of information, including costs, relating to all posts currently supporting the CSP structure. This exercise would include those posts funded either by a single agency, via three ways (District/Police/DCC) and others funded by such sources as ABG or PSA Reward monies.

The strategic role of the Safer Communities Board would be required and the remit of the Board also met the statutory regulations which required a county level group to identify priorities and produce a Community Safety Agreement.

The Derbyshire Criminal Justice Board (DCJB), chaired by the Chief Constable Mick Creedon and comprising Chief Officers from the criminal justice agencies, had a strategic aim to improve trust and confidence in the criminal justice system across communities in Derbyshire. There were already some cross cutting criminal justice and community safety issues which were managed jointly and it was apparent that the development of greater cross cutting community safety and LCJB priorities, workstreams and structures would feature prominently in the strategies of the Coalition Government. This was supported by the proposed structure chart in the Police & Social Responsibility Consultation document, a copy of which was attached to the report. However, the Government had announced the withdrawal of funding for all LCJB support teams from April 2011 onwards and therefore it was proposed that a feasibility study be commissioned by the Board to examine a potential merger of the Derbyshire Safer Communities Board and the Derbyshire

Criminal Justice Board, including the future utilisation of the LCJB support team beyond April 2011.

RESOLVED that 1) the Board endorses a holistic approach to the review of partners' funding to all community safety related posts across the county;

2) a further report be presented to the Board in November 2010, identifying potential budget reduction opportunities and efficiency savings arising from this review, together with an impact assessment on current community safety partnership arrangements across the County whilst accepting that finalised details may not be available until full details are known of the CSR;

3) the Board commissions a feasibility study to examine a potential merger of the Derbyshire Safer Communities Board and the Derbyshire Criminal Justice Board.

Action – Sally Goodwin

54/10 **ANY OTHER BUSINESS – DIRECTLY APPOINTED POLICE COMMISSIONERS** Observations had been requested by 20 September 2010 on the proposal for directly appointed police commissioners. The Police Authority had already drafted a response and it was felt that this may be informative for partners.

55/10 **ANY OTHER BUSINESS – COMMUNITY PAYBACK** The Board was informed that a television programme on community payback was to be screened shortly which included footage which had been secretly filmed in Derbyshire. The content was unknown.

56/10 **DATE OF NEXT MEETING** **RESOLVED** that the next Board meeting be held on 17 November 2010 at Police Headquarters, Ripley commencing at 9.30am.

57/10 **DATES OF 2011 MEETINGS** **RESOLVED** that meetings of the Board be held at a venue to be confirmed commencing at 9.30am on :-

Wednesday, 9 March 2011

Wednesday, 1 June 2011

Wednesday, 7 September 2011

Wednesday, 23 November 2011

MINUTES of a meeting of the **DERBYSHIRE PARTNERSHIP TRANSFORMATIONAL MANAGEMENT BOARD** held on 9 August 2010 at County Hall, Matlock.

P R E S E N T

Councillor L Rose (Derbyshire Dales District Council)
(in the Chair)

Amber Valley Borough Council

P Carney

Bolsover District Council

J Brooks

Derby City Council

G Stirling

Derbyshire County Council

Cllr J Allsop

D Hickman

S Hunt

J Gilbert

M Whelan

Derbyshire Dales District Council

P Colledge

Derbyshire Fire and Rescue Service

A Waldie

Erewash Borough Council

Cllr C Hart

J Jaroszek

High Peak Borough

Cllr E Thrane

North Derbyshire District Council

P Hackett

Rural Action Derbyshire

S Green

South Derbyshire District Council

N Glossop

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Cllr E Bowley (Amber Valley Borough Council), P Coleman (Peak District National Park Authority), M Evans (Chesterfield Borough Council), R Marchington (Peak District National Park Authority) and Cllr E Watts (Bolsover District Council).

Board members made declarations of interest as set out below:-

Councillor Hart – Item 7 member for Erewash LSP, lead member of Housing Option at Erewash and Chair of Derbyshire Sport.

S Green – Item 7 third Sector database project and Credit Unions.

17/10 **MINUTES RESOLVED** that the non exempt minutes of the meeting of the Management Board held on 10 May 2010 be confirmed as a correct record.

18/10 **PROGRAMME UPDATE** The Programme Manager reported that in June 2010 the Department for Communities and Local Government had informed the East Midlands Improvement and Efficiency Partnership (EM IEP) that third year capital pot funding, estimated at £500,000 per sub-region, would not be paid due to budget reductions. Confirmation was provided that all existing money was secure.

At the last Board meeting three Total Place projects were approved for submission to EM IEP for regional funding these being:-

Challenging Families £75,000
Older People £65,000
Derby Property Alliance £38,500

These had all been approved by the EM IEP Member Panel and added to the Derbyshire sub-regional work programme to be monitored alongside existing projects.

The Management Board had also set aside a sum of £18,023 towards the £20,000 costs of an Alcohol Misuse Total Place project being developed by High Peak Borough Council. Following a number of discussions with EM IEP a contribution of £5,000 had been secured. Using the delegated powers agreed at the last Board Meeting, the Chair and Vice Chair of the Management Board had approved the allocation of the shortfall of £15,000 leaving a balance of £3,023 now available for distribution to other projects.

The report set out the details of several projects, listed below, within the improvement and efficiency work programme which had not progressed as planned and as a result funds of £291,046 were now available for distribution to other projects:-

Establish Credit Unions - £195,500
Joint Waste Authority - £50,030
Enhancing the Vehicle, Alcohol Harm and Licensing Groups (VALs) - £14,339
Climate Change Adaptation - £19,154
Managing Performance for Better Outcomes - £9,000.

Since writing the report it had been agreed that a further project “Raising Customer Satisfaction” would also not proceed, adding a further £42,966 to the funds available to be redistributed.

RESOLVED that the Management Board note 1) the position regarding the third year capital pot funding and the approval of the Total Place Projects by EM IEP;

2) the Chair and Vice Chair’s delegated decision to award £15,000 to the Alcohol Misuse Total Place project in High Peak; and

3) that funding of £291,046 was available for distribution to other projects plus the £42,966 from the Raising Customer Satisfaction project as reported to the meeting.

19/10 WORK PROGRAMME INCLUDING NEW PROJECT PROPOSALS

Partners had been notified about the availability of £291,046 (increased to £334,012 as reported to the meeting) from projects which had not progressed and invited to submit proposals for new projects. Eleven proposals totalling £442,066 were submitted. Each of the proposals had been assessed using the appraisal form previously agreed by the Management Board.

	Description of Proposal	Revenue £	Capital £	Total £	Score
1	Home Options Partnership	50,000	0	50,000	7
2	LAEP Energy Housing Database	15,000	14,000	29,000	10
3	Leisure Centre On-Line Bookings	2,000	21,000	23,000	11
4	HMA Design Guide	30,000	0	30,000	6
5	Credit Union	81,076	0	81,076	9
6	Employment and Skills	45,000	0	45,000	8
7	Urban Design Skills Development	29,600	0	29,600	6
8	Voluntary Sector Database	6,363	30,000	36,363	11
9	LSP Peer Challenge	10,450	0	10,450	5
10	Credit Union	60,577	0	60,577	9
11	Active Derbyshire	47,000	0	47,000	11
	Totals	377,066	65,000	442,066	

The six projects that had scored the highest following the assessments required funding totalling £277,016 which would leave a balance of £14,030 to remain as a contingency against the work programme.

Appendix 1 to the report contained the proposals and associated appraisal forms.

Partners were reminded that all funds had to be spent by 30 March 2011 and EM IEP was also looking for efficiencies to be achieved in the same timeframe.

It was queried that as reported to the meeting the “Raising Customer Satisfaction” project would also not be proceeding adding a further £42,966 to the funds available to be redistributed, would this funding be allocated to the Employment and Skills project which would be the next highest scoring project in the submitted list. The Board felt that it would be more beneficial if all the projects not recommended for funding, be given a short time in which to address the areas of weakness identified and to allow partners to highlight any additional benefits so that projects could be re appraised. The distribution of the funding could then be considered by the Chair and Vice Chair if the Board agreed to these delegated powers.

RESOLVED that 1) the Management Board approves the allocation of funds totalling £277,016 to the projects outlined in the report; and

2) partners whose projects were unsuccessful be asked to re visit their bids for funding, to address any weaknesses highlighted and resubmit them for reappraisal by 31 August 2010, to allow the Chair and Vice Chair to approve any further project funding, using delegated powers as agreed by the Board and any remaining funds as a contingency against the existing work programme.

20/10 **PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT REPORT** A further review of project benefits and outcomes for the Improvement and Efficiency Partnership funded projects had taken place, covering the period from April to June 2010. This included the revision of the Highlight Report template to encourage project leads to be more specific in defining their project targets and objectives and to provide details of positive outcomes that had been achieved to date, that were anticipated by March 2011, and that were anticipated beyond March 2011.

There were currently seven projects at amber status :-

- Managing Performance for Better Outcomes Status
- Property Rationalisation – District Liaison Status
- Domestic Abuse - Secure Information Sharing Status
- Joint ICT Service Status

- Energy Monitor Loan Scheme Status
- Co-location Status
- Total Place - Older People Status

One project currently had a red status:-

- Raising Customer Satisfaction Status which, as reported to the meeting, would now not be proceeding.

A summary of the completed reports was attached at Appendix 1 and appendix 2 summarised the responses relating to targets, outcomes and Efficiencies and the “Contributory Targets/Indicators” column showed any additional indicators that the project was addressing.

RESOLVED that the Management Board notes the report.

21/10 **TREASURER’S REPORT** The Treasurers report set out the budget for 2010/11 and expenditure to date. It included the balances carried forward on Geographical Information Systems and the East Midlands Improvement & Efficiency Partnership Grant approved at the last Management Board. The contingency sum of £34,000 was shown on the schedule but was retained to meet any unforeseen expenditure.

RESOLVED that the Management Board notes the current position in respect of the 2010/11 budget.

22/10 **DATE OF NEXT MEETING** **RESOLVED** that the next meeting of the Board be held on Monday 8th November 2010, commencing at 2pm in committee room 1, County Hall, Matlock